

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

August 4, 2008

Motion 12836

	Proposed No. 2008-0408.2 Sponsors Phillips, Ferguson and Lambert
1	A MOTION setting the council's 2009 budget priorities and
2	providing direction to the executive on specific areas of
3	council interest; and declaring the council's budget theme
4	as Protecting Priorities: Public Safety, Health and Quality
5	of Life.
6	
7	WHEREAS, under the King County charter, the county council is the policy
8	determining body and adopts budgets for King County government, and
9	WHEREAS, the executive under the charter carries out policy as set by the
10	council and presents budgets and a budget message setting forth the programs which he
11	proposes for the county during the next fiscal year, and
12	WHEREAS, the council over the years has provided policy direction to the
13	executive to be reflected in the proposed budget, including initiatives such as the juvenile
14	and adult justice operational master plans, realignment of the district court system,
15	expansion of drug and mental health courts, development of framework policies for
16	human services, establishment of the nearshore habitat conservation initiative and
17	creation of the cultural development authority, the property expert review task force, the

18	citizens election oversight committee, the office of information resource management, the
19	county's annexation and children's health initiatives and the public health operational
20	master plan, and
21	WHEREAS, the council has been a leader in promoting performance management
22	in King County and adopted Motion 11561 in 2002 encouraging the executive to broaden
23	the use of performance measurement throughout county government as a way of
24	enhancing management of scarce resources and demonstrating accountability, and
25	WHEREAS, through adoption of Ordinance 16202, in July 2008, the council
26	created a countywide performance and accountability system which will increase
27	transparency and accountability through better reporting of county performance, and
28	WHEREAS, over the last several budget years, the council has increased its role
29	in the oversight and accountability of capital project management by including
30	requirements for increased transparency in capital project reporting and through the
31	creation of the office of capital project oversight, and
32	WHEREAS, the council has consistently sought citizen input in the development
33	of its budget priorities through such efforts as public meetings, citizen boards and
34	commissions and the citizen engagement initiative, which collected the views of
35	hundreds of county residents on how the county should prioritize spending, and
36	WHEREAS, in both 2006 and 2007 the council has adopted budget priority
37	motions which have been forwarded to the county executive for use in formulating his
38	budget proposal, and

39	WHEREAS, in delivering his 2008 budget address, the executive informed the
40	council that the county would be facing significant deficits, beginning with a \$45 million
41	deficit in 2009 and growing in future years, and
42	WHEREAS, early in 2008, the executive announced that the projected deficit had
43	grown to over \$60 million, and
44	WHEREAS, the budget instructions for agencies to use in preparing their 2009
45	budgets included 8.65 percent cuts for general fund mandated services and 33 percent
46	cuts for general fund discretionary services, and
47	WHEREAS, at a June 5, 2008, news conference the separately elected leaders of
48	the county's criminal justice agencies announced that the executive's \$60 million in
49	proposed cuts would mean drastic reductions in public safety services and defined this
50	situation as a public safety system in peril, and
50 51	situation as a public safety system in peril, and WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice
51	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice
51 52	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice agencies have discussed alternatives to meeting the executive's proposed cuts that involve
51 52 53	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice agencies have discussed alternatives to meeting the executive's proposed cuts that involve suspension or deferment of certain current county services, with the responsibility for
51 52 53 54	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice agencies have discussed alternatives to meeting the executive's proposed cuts that involve suspension or deferment of certain current county services, with the responsibility for continuing these services, as well as the related costs falling to local cities, and
51 52 53 54 55	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice agencies have discussed alternatives to meeting the executive's proposed cuts that involve suspension or deferment of certain current county services, with the responsibility for continuing these services, as well as the related costs falling to local cities, and WHEREAS, by the June 23, 2008, labor summit, the executive announced that
51 52 53 54 55 56	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice agencies have discussed alternatives to meeting the executive's proposed cuts that involve suspension or deferment of certain current county services, with the responsibility for continuing these services, as well as the related costs falling to local cities, and WHEREAS, by the June 23, 2008, labor summit, the executive announced that the deficit had grown to over \$70 million, and
51 52 53 54 55 56 57	WHEREAS, the separately elected leaders of the county's criminal justice agencies have discussed alternatives to meeting the executive's proposed cuts that involve suspension or deferment of certain current county services, with the responsibility for continuing these services, as well as the related costs falling to local cities, and WHEREAS, by the June 23, 2008, labor summit, the executive announced that the deficit had grown to over \$70 million, and WHEREAS, at a July 17, 2008, press conference, leaders of the King County

61	WHEREAS, the growth in county revenues has been restricted by state-wide
62	voter-approved measures, including Initiative 747 and the subsequent enactment of it by
63	the state legislature; and
64	WHEREAS, this restriction, which limits the growth in the county property tax
65	levy to 1 percent annually plus new construction - a rate of growth that is insufficient to
66	meet the increasing costs of providing services due to inflation and population growth -
67	has resulted in a structural gap whereby the structure of the tax system generates a
68	persistent shortfall in the revenues needed to maintain public services; and
69	WHEREAS, recent cost trends for energy and the increasing likelihood of higher
70	rates of inflation have exacerbated the structural gap problem, and
71	WHEREAS, other major sources of county revenues, are limited by the health of
72	the economy, such as sales and real estate excise taxes, and
73	WHEREAS, this is not the first time that the public finance system in this state
74	has adversely affected public safety services; the council, in prior efforts to balance the
75	budget, cut in excess of \$100 million from the general fund and has made decisions
76	including transferring swimming pools and local parks to other jurisdictions and shifting
77	the burden for regional parks and recreation services to special levies in an effort to avoid
78	further reductions to public safety services, and
79	WHEREAS, whenever possible, the county council prefers consultation and
80	partnerships for regional services that impact cities, and
81	WHEREAS, King County has implemented special revenue programs like the
82	mental illness and drug dependency sales tax and the veterans and human services levy to
83	address human services needs, and

84	WHEREAS, while these new revenues have addressed specific funding needs,
85	they have not addressed the structural nature of public funding for basic services in
86	Washington counties;
87	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the council of King County:
88	A. The council is committed to using the resources available to county
89	government to support a vibrant, growing King County that honors and respects the core
90	values of its citizens, including protecting public safety, health, enhancing quality of life,
91	earning public trust and maintaining local government services.
92	B. The council recognizes that there are many challenges facing the county that
93	will make decision-making in the 2009 budget difficult. There continues to be a
94	widening structural gap between the cost of continuing current services and revenues
95	available to support these services. The funding gap continues to be exacerbated by a
96	decline in state and federal resources that the county receives. At the same time, county
97	service needs continue to increase. Given these challenges, the council intends that the
98	adopted 2009 budget will be one that:
99	1. Preserves and protects the most essential services provided to the citizens of
100	King County, specifically those programs that promote and protect public safety, health
101	and quality of life for the citizens of King County, whether it be by effective law
102	enforcement, incarceration of dangerous felons, effective prosecutions, disease control
103	and immunizations or enforcement of health and safety provisions of the county code.
104	The council is committed to assuring that before reducing funding for basic public safety,
105	health and quality of life services, all other available options will be considered.

106	Specifically, the council will seek to minimize reductions to essential services to the
107	extent feasible, focusing first on reducing or eliminating nonessential programs;
108	2. Promotes fiscal prudence and maximizes public benefit, by incorporating
109	principles of budget sustainability and policies that support prudent county spending;
110	3. Encourages county government to become more entrepreneurial and seek
111	partnerships with both public and private sector partners that allow the county to leverage
112	additional resources for funding essential services;
113	4. Utilizes a prudent portion of existing reserves to preserve essential services in
114	the short run; and
115	5. Supports the development of strategies that look beyond departmental
116	reductions as the primary budget balancing mechanism and focuses on policy and
117	programmatic changes that would make a difference in the county's overall costs of doing
118	business. Such an approach will help the county during the uncertain economic times we
119	currently face and will support budget sustainability in the long term.
120	C. In developing the county budget for 2009, the executive should incorporate
121	the following policy directives; for any documents requested under these directives,
122	eleven copies should be filed with the clerk of the council for distribution to all
123	councilmembers:
124	1. Jail Beds - State Holds. Balance the number of inmates held for the State
125	with the needs of cities with the ultimate goal of partnerships and the best use of tax
126	payer dollars;
127	2. <u>Unfunded State Mandates.</u> The state of Washington continues to shift its
128	burden to county government through the use of unfunded mandates. Concurrent with the

129	budget proposal, the executive should provide to the council a list of all the current
130	unfunded mandates and a cost estimate for providing the mandated services. The council
131	will, as part of its budget review, evaluate strategies for reiumbrsement, reduction or
132	elimination of unfunded mandates;
133	3. Animal Welfare. Ensure that the proposed 2009 budget conforms to the
134	operational master plan for the provision of sustainable and timely humane animal
135	welfare services by King County and partner agencies;
136	4. Internal Services. Develop and implement service level agreements with all
137	agencies that reflect each agency's priorities. The council intends that all internal service
138	charges be the result of negotiated service level agreements between internal service
139	agencies and the county agency receiving that service;
140	5. <u>Devolution of County Services.</u> Identify any proposed service reductions.
141	Where it is assumed services will be shifted to cities, include a report that discusses both
142	the cost savings to the county and any additional costs to be borne by the city assuming
143	responsibility for the service;
144	6. <u>Annexations</u> . The annexation initiative has now been in operation for four
145	years, expending over \$2 million in operations and staffing costs. Despite this effort,
146	only two of the largest urban unincorporated areas have successfully annexed, resulting
147	in only minimal savings. Given its limited success, refocus the annexation initiative,
148	targeting the remaining funds in the annexation reserves to those potential annexation
149	areas that are actively being studied by jurisdictions for annexation. Any additional funds
150	should be redirected to public safety and health services in the urban unincorporated
151	areas;

152	7. Property Holdings. Evaluate all real property owned by the general fund for
153	the feasibility of surplus and sale to address current budget issues. This evaluation
154	should be transmitted with the proposed budget;
155	8. Business Relations and Economic Development ("BRED"). Review and
156	report on the nonrevenue backed aspects of BRED;
157	9. Implement the Countywide Budget System. Implement the countywide
158	budget system in April 2010 for use in preparing the 2011 capital budget in order to
159	provide improved budget decision making tools;
160	10. Prioritize Capital Projects. Concurrent with the budget, the executive
161	should transmit to the council a report prioritizing all county capital improvement
162	projects. The report shall describe the project and provide a status update and an analysis
163	of the necessity of continuing the capital project as it relates to the provision of core
164	county services;
165	11. Fees. The executive should transmit, concurrent with the budget, a report
166	outlining county fees. The report should contain a description and purpose of the fee, the
167	current fee level and the statutory maximum for the fee. The executive also should
168	highlight any fees where the statutory maximum is currently less than the cost of
169	providing the service; and
170	12. Full Time Equivalent Positions. Concurrent with the budget, the executive
171	should provide a report detailing the estimated number of positions that can be sustained
172	both by each general fund agency and be each county fund, assuming a current revenue
173	base for each. This report should include each of the years covered by the financial plans
174	for each fund submitted with the proposed budget.

8

,

- D. The council supports a legislative agenda that urges the governor and state
- 176 legislature to permanently address the structural funding gap facing all counties in
- 177 Washington state by authorizing expanded types of revenues for local jurisdictions that
- 178 provide for sufficient growth to meet rising annual costs and have the flexibility of
- helping to fund basic public services.

Motion 12836 was introduced on 7/28/2008 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 8/4/2008, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Ms. Patterson, Mr. Dunn, Mr. Constantine, Ms. Lambert, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Gossett, Mr. Phillips and Ms. Hague No: 0 Excused: 0

> KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Julia Patterson, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments

None